

On the Hardy-Poincaré inequality with boundary singularities

Mouhamed Moustapha Fall *

Abstract. Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with $N \geq 1$. In this paper we study the Hardy-Poincaré inequality with weight function singular at the boundary of Ω . In particular we provide sufficient and necessary conditions on the existence of minimizers.

Key Words: Hardy inequality, extremals, existence, non-existence.

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 1$, with $0 \in \partial\Omega$. In the framework of Brezis and Marcus [1], we study the existence and non-existence of minima for the following quotient

$$(1.1) \quad \mu_\lambda(\Omega) := \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^2 dx}{\int_\Omega |x|^{-2} |u|^2 dx},$$

in terms of $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and Ω . The existence and non-existence of extremals for (1.1) were studied in [3], [4], [6], [7], [8], [12], [13], [14] and the references there in. Especially in [7], the authors proved that for every smooth bounded domain Ω of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$, with $0 \in \partial\Omega$

$$(1.2) \quad \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \mu_\lambda(\Omega) = \frac{N^2}{4} = \mu_0(\mathbb{R}_+^N),$$

*Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Institut für Mathematik. Robert-Mayer-Str. 10 D-60054 Frankfurt, Germany. E-mail: fall@math.uni-frankfurt.de, mouhamed.m.fall@gmail.com.

where $\mathbb{R}_+^N = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x^1 > 0\}$, see also Lemma 3.4. In addition they showed that there exists $\lambda^* = \lambda^*(\Omega) \in [-\infty, +\infty)$ such that $\mu_\lambda(\Omega) < \frac{N^2}{4}$ and it is achieved for all $\lambda > \lambda^*$. If Ω is locally convex at 0, they proved that $\lambda^* \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover if $\lambda^* \in \mathbb{R}$ and Ω is locally concave at 0 then there is no minimizer for $\mu_{\lambda^*}(\Omega) = \frac{N^2}{4}$.

The questions to know whether λ^* is finite for every smooth domain Ω and the non-existence of minimizers for $\mu_{\lambda^*}(\Omega)$ remained open.

We shall show that, indeed, the supremum in (1.2) is always attained by $\lambda^* \in \mathbb{R}$ and that there is no extremals for $\mu_{\lambda^*}(\Omega)$. Our main result is the following,

Theorem 1.1 *Let Ω be a bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$, with $0 \in \partial\Omega$. Then there exists $\lambda^*(\Omega) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mu_\lambda(\Omega)$ is attained if and only if $\lambda > \lambda^*(\Omega)$.*

We notice that if $N = 1$ then by [6] we have that $\lambda^*(\Omega) \geq 0$ and thus $\mu_{\lambda^*}(\Omega)$ is not achieved by [8]. We mention that, as observed in [7] and [6], there are various smooth bounded domains such that $\lambda^*(\Omega) < 0$.

The fact that $\lambda^*(\Omega) \in \mathbb{R}$ is a consequence of the following local Hardy inequality, for $r > 0$ small,

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{\Omega \cap B_r(0)} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{N^2}{4} \int_{\Omega \cap B_r(0)} |x|^{-2} |u|^2 dx \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega \cap B_r(0)).$$

On the other hand the above inequality implies that $\mu_0(\Omega \cap B_r(0)) = \frac{N^2}{4}$ by (1.2). In particular, even if a domain has negative principal curvatures at 0, its Hardy constant may be equal to $\frac{N^2}{4}$ the Hardy constant of the half-space \mathbb{R}_+^N . This is not the case for the Hardy-Sobolev constant, see Ghoussoub-Kang [10]. Hence the existence of extremals for μ_0 depends on all the geometry of the domain instead of the geometric quantities at the origin, see Proposition 4.2.

In Section 2, we introduce the system of *normal coordinates* and the modified ground states used in the hall paper. In section 3, we show that $\lambda^* \in \mathbb{R}$ and we provide an improvement of (1.3). In Section 4, we show that the problem

$$-\Delta u - \frac{N^2}{4} |x|^{-2} u = \lambda u, \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

does not possess a non trivial and nonnegative supersolution in $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega)$. In Section 5 we prove Theorem 1.1. Finally in Section 6, we generalize Theorem 1.1 by studying variational problems of type (1.1) with some weights.

2 Preliminaries and Notations

For $N \geq 2$, we denote by $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_N\}$ the standard orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^N ; $\mathbb{R}_+^N = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : y^1 > 0\}$; $B_r(y_0) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y - y_0| < r\}$; $B_r^+ = B_r(0) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^N$ and $S_+^{N-1} = \partial B_1(0) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^N$.

Let \mathcal{U} be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N with boundary $\mathcal{M} := \partial\mathcal{U}$ a smooth closed hypersurface of \mathbb{R}^N and $0 \in \mathcal{M}$. We write $N_{\mathcal{M}}$ for the unit normal vector-field of \mathcal{M} pointed into \mathcal{U} . Up to a rotation, we assume that $N_{\mathcal{M}}(0) = E_1$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we let $d_{\mathcal{M}}(x) = \text{dist}(\mathcal{M}, x)$ be the distance function of \mathcal{M} . Given $x \in \mathcal{U}$ and close to \mathcal{M} then it can be written uniquely as $x = \sigma_x + d_{\mathcal{M}}(x) N_{\mathcal{M}}(\sigma_x)$, where σ_x is the projection of x on \mathcal{M} . We further use the Fermi coordinates (y^2, \dots, y^N) on \mathcal{M} so that for σ_x close to 0, we have

$$\sigma_x = \text{Exp}_0 \left(\sum_{i=2}^N y^i E_i \right),$$

where $\text{Exp}_0 : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is the exponential mapping on \mathcal{M} endowed with the metric induced by \mathbb{R}^N . In this way a neighborhood of 0 in \mathcal{U} can be parameterized by the map

$$F_{\mathcal{M}}(y) = \text{Exp}_0 \left(\sum_{i=2}^N y^i E_i \right) + y^1 N_{\mathcal{M}} \left(\text{Exp}_0 \left(\sum_{i=2}^N y^i E_i \right) \right), \quad y \in B_r^+,$$

for some $r > 0$. In this coordinates, the Laplacian Δ is given by

$$\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{(\partial x^i)^2} = \frac{\partial^2}{(\partial y^1)^2} + h_{\mathcal{M}} \circ F_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \sum_{i,j=2}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \left(\sqrt{|g|} g^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} \right),$$

where $h_{\mathcal{M}}(x) = \Delta d_{\mathcal{M}}(x)$; for $i, j = 2, \dots, N$, $g_{ij} = \langle \frac{\partial F_{\mathcal{M}}}{\partial y^i}, \frac{\partial F_{\mathcal{M}}}{\partial y^j} \rangle$; the quantity $|g|$ is the determinant of g and g^{ij} is the component of the inverse of the matrix $(g_{ij})_{2 \leq i, j \leq N}$.

Since $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + O(y^1) + O(|y|^2)$, we have the following Taylor expansion

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{(\partial y^i)^2} + h_{\mathcal{M}} \circ F_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + \sum_{i=2}^N O_i(|y|) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} + \sum_{i,j=2}^N O_{ij}(|y|) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}.$$

For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we put $X_a(t) := |\log t|^a$, $t \in (0, 1)$. Let

$$\bar{\omega}_a(y) := y^1 |y|^{-\frac{N}{2}} X_a(|y|) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$$

and put

$$L_y := - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{(\partial y^i)^2} - \frac{N^2}{4} |y|^{-2} + a(a-1) |y|^{-2} X_{-2}(|y|).$$

Then one easily verifies that

$$\begin{cases} L_y \bar{\omega}_a = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ \bar{\omega}_a = 0 & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^N \setminus \{0\}, \\ \bar{\omega}_a \in H^1(B_R^+) \quad \forall R > 0, \quad a < -\frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

For $K \in \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$\omega_{a,K}(y) = e^{Ky^1} \bar{\omega}_a(y).$$

This function satisfies similar boundary and integrability conditions as $\bar{\omega}_a$. In addition it holds that

$$(2.2) \quad L_y \omega_{a,K} = -\frac{2K}{y^1} \omega_{a,K} + 2K \left(\frac{N}{2} + aX_{-1}(|y|) \right) \frac{y^1}{|y|^2} \omega_{a,K} - K^2 \omega_{a,K}.$$

Furthermore for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=2}^N O_i(|y|) \frac{\partial \omega_{a,K}}{\partial y^i} + \sum_{i,j=2}^N O_{ij}(|y|) \frac{\partial^2 \omega_{a,K}}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} &= y^1 e^{Ky^1} O\left(|y|^{-\frac{N}{2}-1} X_a(|y|)\right) \\ &= \mathcal{O}_{a,K}(|y|^{-1}) \omega_{a,K}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Here the error term $\mathcal{O}_{a,K}$ has the property that for any $A > 0$, there exist positive constants $c = c(\Omega, A, K)$ and $s_0 = s_0(\Omega, A, K)$ such that

$$(2.3) \quad |\mathcal{O}_{a,K}(s)| \leq c s \quad \forall s \in (0, s_0), \quad \forall a \in [-A, A].$$

Let

$$W_{a,K}(x) := \omega_{a,K}(F_{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}(x)), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{B}_r^+ := F_{\mathcal{M}}(B_r^+).$$

Then using (2.1), (2.2) and the fact that $|x| = |y| + O(|y|^2)$ we obtain the following expansion

$$(2.4) \quad L W_{a,K} = - \left(\frac{2K + h_{\mathcal{M}}(x)}{d_{\mathcal{M}}(x)} \right) W_{a,K} + \mathcal{O}_{a,K}(|x|^{-1}) W_{a,K} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{B}_r^+,$$

with $L := -\Delta - \frac{N^2}{4}|x|^{-2} + a(a-1)|x|^{-2}X_{-2}(|x|)$. Moreover it is easy to see that

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} W_{a,K} > 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{B}_r^+, \\ W_{a,K} = 0 & \text{on } \mathcal{M} \cap \partial\mathcal{B}_r^+ \setminus \{0\}, \\ W_{a,K} \in H^1(\mathcal{B}_r^+), \quad \forall a < -\frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

3 $\lambda^*(\Omega)$ is finite

We start with the following local improved Hardy inequality.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{B_1(-E_1)}$. Then there exist constants $c = c(N) > 0$ and $r_0 = r_0(N) > 0$ such that for all $r \in (0, r_0)$ the inequality*

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}_r^+} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{N^2}{4} \int_{\mathcal{B}_r^+} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx \geq c \int_{\mathcal{B}_r^+} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2 |\log |x||^2} dx + (N-1) \int_{\mathcal{B}_r^+} \frac{|u|^2}{d_{\mathcal{M}}(x)} dx$$

holds for all $u \in H_0^1(\mathcal{B}_r^+)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $h_{\mathcal{M}}(x) = \frac{N-1}{1+d_{\mathcal{M}}(x)}$ and thus

$$(3.1) \quad -\frac{2(1-N) + h_{\mathcal{M}}(x)}{d_{\mathcal{M}}(x)} \geq \frac{N-1}{d_{\mathcal{M}}(x)} \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{U}.$$

For $r > 0$ small, we set

$$\tilde{w}(x) = \omega_{\frac{1}{2}, 1-N}(F_{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}(x)), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{B}_r^+.$$

By (2.4) and (3.1), we have

$$-\frac{\Delta \tilde{w}}{\tilde{w}} \geq \frac{N^2}{4}|x|^{-2} + \frac{1}{4}|x|^{-2}X_{-2}(|x|) + \frac{N-1}{d_{\mathcal{M}}(x)} + O(|x|^{-1}) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{B}_r^+.$$

Hence there exists $r_0 = r_0(N) > 0$ such that for all $r \in (0, r_0)$

$$(3.2) \quad -\frac{\Delta \tilde{w}}{\tilde{w}} \geq \frac{N^2}{4}|x|^{-2} + c|x|^{-2}X_{-2}(|x|) + \frac{N-1}{d_{\mathcal{M}}(x)} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{B}_r^+,$$

for some positive constant c depending only on N . Fix $r \in (0, r_0)$ and let $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{B}_r^+)$. We put $\psi = \frac{u}{\tilde{w}}$. Then one has $|\nabla u|^2 = |\tilde{w}\nabla\psi|^2 + |\psi\nabla\tilde{w}|^2 + \nabla(\psi^2) \cdot \tilde{w}\nabla\tilde{w}$. Therefore $|\nabla u|^2 = |\tilde{w}\nabla\psi|^2 + \nabla\tilde{w} \cdot \nabla(\tilde{w}\psi^2)$. Integrating by parts, we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}_r^+} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \int_{\mathcal{B}_r^+} |\tilde{w}\nabla\psi|^2 dx + \int_{\mathcal{B}_r^+} \left(-\frac{\Delta \tilde{w}}{\tilde{w}}\right) u^2 dx.$$

The proof is then complete by (3.2) and a density argument. \square

As a consequence, we have

Corollary 3.2 *Let Ω be Lipschitz domain and of class C^2 at $0 \in \partial\Omega$. Then there exist constants $c = c(\Omega) > 0$ and $r_0 = r_0(\Omega) > 0$ such that for all $r \in (0, r_0)$, the inequality*

$$\int_{\Omega \cap B_r(0)} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{N^2}{4} \int_{\Omega \cap B_r(0)} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx \geq c \int_{\Omega \cap B_r(0)} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2 |\log |x||^2} dx$$

holds for all $u \in H_0^1(\Omega \cap B_r(0))$.

Proof. Since Ω is of class C^2 at $0 \in \partial\Omega$, there exists a ball with $0 \in \partial B$ and $\Omega \subset \mathcal{U} = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{B}$. Therefore by Lemma 3.1, we get the result. \square

Remark 3.3 *We should notice that Lemma 3.1 implies that " Ω is locally concave at $0 \in \partial\Omega$ " does not necessarily implies that $\mu(\Omega) < \frac{N^2}{4}$ as it happens in the Hardy-Sobolev case, see [10], [11], [5].*

For sake of completeness, we include the proof of (1.2) in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4 *Let Ω be a Lipschitz domain and of class C^2 at $0 \in \partial\Omega$. Then there exists $\lambda^*(\Omega) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\lambda(\Omega) &= \frac{N^2}{4}, & \forall \lambda \leq \lambda^*(\Omega), \\ \mu_\lambda(\Omega) &< \frac{N^2}{4}, & \forall \lambda > \lambda^*(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Claim: $\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \mu_\lambda \leq \frac{N^2}{4}$.

It is well known that $\mu_0(\mathbb{R}_+^N) = \frac{N^2}{4}$, see for instance [9] or [14]. So for any $\delta > 0$, we let $u_\delta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |\nabla u_\delta|^2 dy \leq \left(\frac{N^2}{4} + \delta \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |y|^{-2} u_\delta^2 dy.$$

We let B a ball contained in Ω and such that $0 \in \partial B$. If $\varepsilon > 0$, put

$$v(x) = \varepsilon^{\frac{2-N}{2}} u_\delta \left(\varepsilon^{-1} F_{\partial B}^{-1}(x) \right).$$

Clearly, provided ε is small enough, we have that $v \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ thus by the change of variable formula

$$\mu_\lambda(\Omega) \leq \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx + \lambda \int_\Omega v^2 dx}{\int_\Omega |x|^{-2} v^2 dx} \leq (1 + c\varepsilon) \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |\nabla u_\delta|^2 dy}{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |y|^{-2} u_\delta^2 dy} + c\varepsilon^2 |\lambda|,$$

where we have used the fact that $F_{\partial B}^{-1}(x) = x + O(|x|^2)$ and c is a constant depending only on Ω . We conclude that

$$\mu_\lambda(\Omega) \leq (1 + c\varepsilon) \left(\frac{N^2}{4} + \delta \right) + c\varepsilon^2 |\lambda|.$$

Taking the limit in ε and then in δ , the claim follows.

Claim : There exists $\tilde{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mu_{\tilde{\lambda}} = \frac{N^2}{4}$

For $\delta > 0$ small, we let $\psi \in C^\infty(B_\delta(0))$ be a cut-off function, satisfying

$$0 \leq \psi \leq 1, \quad \psi \equiv 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0), \quad \psi \equiv 1 \text{ in } B_{\frac{\delta}{4}}(0).$$

We write any $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ as $u = \psi u + (1 - \psi)u$, to get

$$(3.3) \quad \int_\Omega |x|^{-2} |u|^2 dx \leq \int_\Omega |x|^{-2} |\psi u|^2 dx + c \int_\Omega |u|^2 dx,$$

where the constant c depends only on δ . Since $\psi u \in H_0^1(\Omega \cap B_\delta(0))$, if δ is sufficiently small, Corollary 3.2 implies that

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{N^2}{4} \int_\Omega |x|^{-2} |\psi u|^2 dx \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla(\psi u)|^2 dx.$$

In addition, we have

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla(\psi u)|^2 dx \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \nabla(\psi^2) \cdot \nabla(u^2) dx + c \int_\Omega |u|^2 dx.$$

Using integration by parts we get

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla(\psi u)|^2 dx \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \Delta(\psi^2) |u|^2 dx + c \int_\Omega |u|^2 dx.$$

Combining this with (3.3) and (3.4) we infer that there exists a positive constant c depending only on δ and Ω such that

$$\frac{N^2}{4} \int_\Omega |x|^{-2} |u|^2 dx \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + c \int_\Omega |u|^2 dx \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

This together with the first claim implies that $\mu_{-c}(\Omega) = \frac{N^2}{4}$.

Finally, noticing that $\mu_\lambda(\Omega)$ is decreasing in λ , we can set

$$(3.5) \quad \lambda^*(\Omega) := \sup \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \mu_\lambda(\Omega) = \frac{N^2}{4} \right\}$$

so that $\mu_\lambda(\Omega) < \frac{N^2}{4}$ for all $\lambda > \lambda^*(\Omega)$. □

4 Non-existence result

In this section we prove the following non-existence result.

Theorem 4.1 *Let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz domain of class C^2 at $0 \in \partial\Omega$ and let $\lambda \geq 0$. Suppose that $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega)$ is a non-negative function satisfying*

$$(4.1) \quad -\Delta u - \frac{N^2}{4}|x|^{-2}u \geq -\lambda u \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Then $u \equiv 0$.

Proof. Up to scaling and rotation, we may assume that Ω contains the ball $B = B_1(E_1)$ such that $\overline{B} \cap \overline{\Omega} = \{0\}$. We will use the coordinates in Section 2 with $\mathcal{U} = B$ and $\mathcal{M} = \partial B$. For $r > 0$ small we define $G_r^+ := F_{\partial B}(B_r^+)$.

We suppose that u does not identically vanish near 0 and satisfies (4.1) so that $u > 0$ in $\Omega \cap B_{r_0}(0)$ by the maximum principle, for some $r_0 > 0$.

We define

$$w_a(x) := \omega_{a,N-1}(F_{\partial B}^{-1}(x)), \quad \forall x \in G_r^+.$$

Letting $L := -\Delta - \frac{N^2}{4}|x|^{-2} + \lambda$ then by (2.4)

$$L w_a \leq -\frac{2(N-1) + h_{\partial B}}{d_{\partial B}} w_a + \left(\lambda - \frac{3}{4}|x|^{-2} X_{-2}(|x|) \right) w_a + \mathcal{O}_a(|x|^{-1}) w_a,$$

for every $a < -\frac{1}{2}$. Since $-h_{\partial B}(x) = (N-1)(1 + O(|x|))$ in G_r^+ , by (2.3) we can choose $r > 0$ small, independent on $a \in (-1, -\frac{1}{2})$, so that

$$(4.2) \quad L w_a \leq 0 \quad \text{in } G_r^+, \quad \forall a \in (-1, -\frac{1}{2}).$$

Let $R > 0$ so that

$$R w_a \leq u \quad \text{on } \overline{F_{\partial B}(r S_+^{N-1})} \quad \forall a < -\frac{1}{2}.$$

By (2.5), setting $v_a = R w_a - u$, it turns out that $v_a^+ = \max(v_a, 0) \in H_0^1(G_r^+)$ because $w_a = 0$ on $\partial B \cap \partial G_r^+$. Moreover by (4.1) and (4.2),

$$L v_a \leq 0 \quad \text{in } G_r^+, \quad \forall a \in (-1, -\frac{1}{2}).$$

Multiplying the above inequality by v_a^+ and integrating by parts yields

$$\int_{G_r^+} |\nabla v_a^+|^2 dx - \frac{N^2}{4} \int_{G_r^+} |x|^{-2} |v_a^+|^2 dx + \lambda \int_{G_r^+} |v_a^+|^2 dx \leq 0.$$

But then Corollary 3.2 implies that $v_a^+ = 0$ in G_r^+ . Therefore $u \geq R w_a$ for all $a \in (-1, -\frac{1}{2})$ and this contradicts the fact that $\frac{u}{|x|} \in L^2(\Omega)$ because $\int_{G_r^+} \frac{w_a^2}{|x|^2} \geq c \int_{B_r^+} \frac{\omega_{a,N-1}^2}{|y|^2} \geq \frac{c}{2a+1} |\log r|^{2a+1}$, for some positive constant c depending only on B . Consequently u vanish identically in G_r^+ and thus by the maximum principle $u \equiv 0$ in Ω . \square

As in [6], starting from exterior domains, we can see that, in general, existence of extremals for μ_0 depends on all the geometry of the domain rather than the geometric constants at the origin. Indeed, let G be a smooth bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$ with $0 \in \partial G$. For $r > 0$, set $\Omega_r = B_r(0) \cap (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{G})$. It was shown in [6] that there exists $r_1 > 0$ such that $\mu_0(\Omega_r) < \frac{N^2}{4}$ for all $r \in (r_1, \infty)$ and $\mu_0(\Omega_r)$ is achieved. But Corollary 3.2 and (1.2) yields $\mu_0(\Omega_r) = \frac{N^2}{4}$ for $r \in (0, r_0)$. In particular by Theorem 4.1, we get,

Proposition 4.2 *There exist $r_0, r_1 > 0$ such that the problem*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \mu_0(\Omega_r) |x|^{-2} u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega_r, \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega_r), \\ u \geq 0 & \text{in } \Omega_r \end{cases}$$

has a solution for all $r \in (r_1, \infty)$ and does not have a solution for every $r \in (0, r_0)$.

Remark 4.3 Let Ω be as in Theorem 4.1. Then by similar argument, one can show that there is no positive function $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega)$ that satisfies

$$-\Delta u - \frac{N^2}{4} \frac{u}{|x|^2} \geq -\frac{\eta(x)}{|x|^2} u \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

with η is continuous, non-negative and $|\log |x||^2 \eta(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow 0$.

Remark 4.4 We should mention that some sharp non-existence results of distributional solution was obtained in [8]. Indeed assume that Ω contains a half-ball centered at $0 \in \partial\Omega$ and that $u \in L^2(\Omega; |x|^{-2} dx)$ satisfies

$$-\int_{\Omega} u \left(\Delta \varphi + \frac{N^2}{4} \frac{\varphi}{|x|^2} \right) dx \geq -\frac{3}{4} \int_{\Omega} u \frac{\varphi}{|x|^2 |\log |x||^2} dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$$

then u vanish in a neighborhood of 0 .

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The proof of the "if" part is similar to the one given in [1], see also [7]. Secondly, since the mapping $\lambda \mapsto \mu_\lambda(\Omega)$ is constant on $(0, \lambda^*(\Omega)]$, it is not difficult to see that $\mu_\lambda(\Omega)$ is not achieved for all $\lambda < \lambda^*(\Omega)$. Now we assume that $\mu_{\lambda^*}(\Omega)$ is attained by a mapping $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Then it is also achieved by $|u|$ so we can assume that $u \geq 0$. Furthermore since u solves

$$-\Delta u - \frac{N^2}{4} |x|^{-2} u = \lambda^* u \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

by standard elliptic regularity theory, u is smooth in Ω . Therefore, Theorem 4.1 implies that $u = 0$ in Ω which is not possible. \square

6 Hardy inequality with weight

Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$ with $0 \in \partial\Omega$. Following [1] and [2], we study the existence of extremals of the following quotient:

$$(6.1) \quad J_\lambda := \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 p \, dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-2} |u|^2 \eta \, dx}{\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-2} |u|^2 q \, dx},$$

where the weights p, q and η are nonnegative, nontrivial and satisfy

$$(6.2) \quad p \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}), \quad q, \eta \in C(\overline{\Omega}), \quad p, \eta > 0 \text{ in } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(0) = 0.$$

We have the following generalization of Theorem 1.1:

Theorem 6.1 *Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$ with $0 \in \partial\Omega$. Assume that the weight functions in (6.1) satisfy (6.2) and that*

$$(6.3) \quad p(0) = q(0) > 0.$$

Then, there exists $\lambda^ = \lambda^*(p, q, \eta, \Omega)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda &= \frac{N^2}{4}, \quad \forall \lambda \leq \lambda^*, \\ J_\lambda &< \frac{N^2}{4}, \quad \forall \lambda > \lambda^*. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore J_λ is achieved if and only if $\lambda > \lambda^$.*

Proof. Step I: We first show that

$$(6.4) \quad \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} J_\lambda \leq \frac{N^2}{4}.$$

Recall the notation in Section 2. For $\rho > 0$ small, we will put $\mathcal{B}_\rho^+ = F_{\partial\Omega}(B_\rho^+)$. By (6.3), for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can let $r_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$p \leq (1 + \varepsilon)p(0), \quad q \geq (1 - \varepsilon)p(0), \quad \eta \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathcal{B}_{r_\varepsilon}^+}.$$

By Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 3.4, $\mu_0(\mathcal{B}_{r_\varepsilon}^+) = \frac{N^2}{4}$, so for any $\delta > 0$ we can let $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{B}_{r_\varepsilon}^+)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}_{r_\varepsilon}^+} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \left(\frac{N^2}{4} + \delta \right) \int_{\mathcal{B}_{r_\varepsilon}^+} |x|^{-2} u^2.$$

It turns out that

$$J_\lambda \leq \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 p - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-2} u^2 \eta}{\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-2} u^2 q} \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} \left(\frac{N^2}{4} + \delta \right) + \frac{\varepsilon |\lambda|}{(1 - \varepsilon)q(0)}.$$

Sending δ and ε to zero, (6.4) follows immediately.

Step II: There exists $\tilde{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $J_{\tilde{\lambda}} = \frac{N^2}{4}$.

We fix $r_0 > 0$ positive small and put

$$(6.5) \quad K_0 = \frac{1}{2} \min_{\mathcal{B}_{r_0}^+} (-\nabla p \cdot \nabla d_{\partial\Omega} - h_{\partial\Omega}).$$

For every $r \in (0, r_0)$, we set

$$\tilde{w}(x) = \omega_{\frac{1}{2}, K_0}(F_{\partial\Omega}^{-1}(x)), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{B}_r^+.$$

Notice that $\operatorname{div}(p\nabla\tilde{w}) = p\Delta\tilde{w} + \nabla p \cdot \nabla\tilde{w}$. For $r > 0$ small, using (2.4) we get, in \mathcal{B}_r^+ ,

$$(6.6) \quad -\operatorname{div}(p\nabla\tilde{w}) = p\frac{N^2}{4}|x|^{-2}\tilde{w} + \frac{p}{4}|x|^{-2}X_{-2}(|x|)\tilde{w} + \frac{-\nabla p \cdot \nabla d_{\partial\Omega} - h_{\partial\Omega} - 2K_0}{d_{\partial\Omega}}\tilde{w} + O(|x|^{-1})\tilde{w}.$$

Hence by (6.3) and (6.5) there exist constants $c > 0$ and $r_1 > 0$ (depending on p, q, η and Ω) such that for all $r \in (0, r_1)$

$$(6.7) \quad -\operatorname{div}(p\nabla\tilde{w}) \geq q\frac{N^2}{4}|x|^{-2}\tilde{w} + c|x|^{-2}X_{-2}(|x|)\tilde{w} \quad \mathcal{B}_r^+.$$

Fix $r \in (0, r_1)$ and let $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{B}_r^+)$. We put $\psi = \frac{u}{\tilde{w}}$. Then one has $|\nabla u|^2 = |\tilde{w}\nabla\psi|^2 + |\psi\nabla\tilde{w}|^2 + \nabla(\psi^2) \cdot \nabla\tilde{w}\tilde{w}$. Therefore $|\nabla u|^2 p = |\tilde{w}\nabla\psi|^2 p + p\nabla\tilde{w} \cdot \nabla(\tilde{w}\psi^2)$. Integrating by parts, we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}_r^+} |\nabla u|^2 p \, dx = \int_{\mathcal{B}_r^+} |\tilde{w}\nabla\psi|^2 p \, dx + \int_{\mathcal{B}_r^+} \left(-\frac{\operatorname{div}(p\nabla\tilde{w})}{\tilde{w}} \right) u^2 \, dx.$$

This together with (6.7) yields

$$(6.8) \quad \int_{\mathcal{B}_r^+} |\nabla u|^2 p \, dx \geq \frac{N^2}{4} \int_{\mathcal{B}_r^+} |x|^{-2} u^2 q \, dx + c \int_{\mathcal{B}_r^+} |x|^{-2} X_{-2}(|x|) u^2 \, dx.$$

We can now proceed as in the proof of Lemma 3.4 (since $\eta > 0$ in Ω) to conclude that there exists a constant $C = C(p, q, \eta, \Omega) > 0$ such that

$$\frac{N^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-2} u^2 q \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 p \, dx + C \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-2} u^2 \eta \, dx \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Therefore we can define λ^* as in (3.5) to end the proof of this step.

Step III: Let $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega)$ is a non-negative function satisfying

$$(6.9) \quad -\operatorname{div}(p\nabla u) - \frac{N^2}{4} q |x|^{-2} u \geq -\lambda |x|^{-2} \eta u \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Then $u \equiv 0$.

Here, we assume that Ω contains the ball $B = B_1(E_1)$ such that $\overline{B} \cap \overline{\Omega} = \{0\}$ and set $G_r^+ = F_{\partial B}(B_r^+)$. As in the previous step, we put

$$(6.10) \quad K_1 = \frac{1}{2} \max_{\overline{G_{r_0}^+}} (-\nabla p \cdot \nabla d_{\partial\Omega} - h_{\partial\Omega}).$$

For $r \in (0, r_0)$ and $a < -\frac{1}{2}$, we set

$$w_a(x) = \omega_{a, K_1}(F_{\partial B}^{-1}(x)), \quad \forall x \in G_r^+ = F_{\partial B}(B_r^+).$$

Letting $L = -\operatorname{div}(p\nabla \cdot) - \frac{N^2}{4}q|x|^{-2} + |\lambda||x|^{-2}\eta$ then by (6.10) and (6.3), we get

$$Lw_a \leq \left(|\lambda||x|^{-2}\eta - \frac{3}{4}p|x|^{-2}X_{-2}(|x|) \right) w_a + \mathcal{O}_a(|x|^{-1})w_a \quad \text{in } G_r^+.$$

Therefore by (2.3) we can choose $r > 0$ small, independent on $a \in (-1, -\frac{1}{2})$, so that

$$(6.11) \quad Lw_a \leq 0 \quad \text{in } G_r^+, \quad \forall a \in (-1, -\frac{1}{2}).$$

If $u \not\equiv 0$ near the origin then by the maximum principle, we can assume that $u > 0$ in G_{2r}^+ . Hence we can let $R > 0$ so that

$$Rw_a \leq u \quad \text{on } \overline{F_{\partial B}(rS_+^{N-1})} \quad \forall a < -\frac{1}{2}.$$

By (2.5), setting $v_a = Rw_a - u$, it turns out that $v_a^+ = \max(v_a, 0) \in H_0^1(G_r^+)$. Moreover by (6.9) and (6.11),

$$Lv_a \leq 0 \quad \text{in } G_r^+, \quad \forall a \in (-1, -\frac{1}{2}).$$

Multiplying the above inequality by v_a^+ and integrating by parts yields

$$\int_{G_r^+} |\nabla v_a^+|^2 p \, dx - \frac{N^2}{4} \int_{G_r^+} |x|^{-2} |v_a^+|^2 q \, dx + |\lambda| \int_{G_r^+} |x|^{-2} |v_a^+|^2 \eta \, dx \leq 0.$$

But then (6.8) implies that $v_a^+ = 0$ in G_r^+ . Therefore $u \geq Rw_a$ for all $a \in (-1, -\frac{1}{2})$ and this contradicts the fact that $\frac{u}{|x|} \in L^2(\Omega)$. Consequently u vanish identically in G_r^+ and thus by the maximum principle $u \equiv 0$ in Ω .

Step IV: If $J_\lambda < \frac{N^2}{4}$ then it is achieved.

The proof of the existence part, since $\eta(0) = 0$, is similar to the one given in [1] so we skip it. \square

Remark 6.2 Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$. Let Σ_k be a smooth compact sub-manifold of $\partial\Omega$ with dimension $0 \leq k \leq N-1$. Here Σ_0 is a single point. Consider the problem (P_k^λ) of finding minimizers for the quotient:

$$(6.12) \quad J_\lambda^k := \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 p \, dx - \lambda \int_\Omega \text{dist}(x, \Sigma_k)^{-2} |u|^2 \eta \, dx}{\int_\Omega \text{dist}(x, \Sigma_k)^{-2} |u|^2 q \, dx},$$

where the weights p, q and η are smooth positive in $\overline{\Omega}$ with $\eta = 0$ on Σ_k and the following normalization

$$(6.13) \quad \min_{\Sigma_k} \frac{p}{q} = 1$$

holds. We put

$$(6.14) \quad I_k = \int_{\Sigma_k} \frac{d\sigma}{\sqrt{1 - (q(\sigma)/p(\sigma))}}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N-1 \quad \text{and} \quad I_0 = \infty.$$

It was shown in [1] that there exists λ^* such that if $\lambda > \lambda^*$ then $J_\lambda^{N-1} < \frac{1}{4}$ and (P_{N-1}^λ) has a solution while for $\lambda \leq \lambda^*$, $J_\lambda^{N-1} = \frac{1}{4}$ and (P_{N-1}^λ) does not have a solution whenever $\lambda < \lambda^*$. The critical case $(P_{N-1}^{\lambda^*})$ was treated in [2], where the authors proved that $(P_{N-1}^{\lambda^*})$ admits a solution if and only if $I_{N-1} < \infty$. This clearly holds here for $(P_0^{\lambda^*})$ by Theorem 6.1. We believe that such type of results remain true for all k by taking in to account that in the flat case,

$$\inf_{u \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{u^2}{x_1^2 + \dots + x_{N-k}^2} \, dx} = \frac{(N-k)^2}{4},$$

see [9], with $\mathbb{R}_+^N = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x^1 > 0\}$.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Professor Haim Brezis for his comments and suggestions. He is grateful to the referee for his comments. This work is partially supported by the Alexander-von-Humboldt Foundation.

References

- [1] Brezis H. and Marcus M., Hardy's inequalities revisited. Dedicated to Ennio De Giorgi. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* 25 (1997), no. 1-2, 217-237.
- [2] Brezis H., Marcus M. and Shafrir I., External functions for Hardy's inequality with weight, *J. Funct. Anal.* 171 (2000), 177-191.
- [3] Caldiroli P., Musina R., On a class of 2-dimensional singular elliptic problems. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 131 (2001), 479-497.
- [4] Caldiroli P., Musina R., Stationary states for a two-dimensional singular Schrödinger equation. *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. (8)* 4-B (2001), 609-633.
- [5] Chern J-L. and Lin C-S., Minimizers of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg Inequalities with the Singularity on the Boundary. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* Volume 197, Number 2 (2010), 401-432.
- [6] Fall M. M., A note on Hardy's inequalities with boundary singularities. *Prépublication Département de Mathématique Université Catholique de Louvain-La-Neuve* 365 (2010), <http://www.uclouvain.be/38324.html>.
- [7] Fall M. M., Musina R., Hardy-Poincaré inequalities with boundary singularities. *Prépublication Département de Mathématique Université Catholique de Louvain-La-Neuve* 364 (2010), <http://www.uclouvain.be/38324.html>.
- [8] Fall M. M., Musina R., Sharp nonexistence results for a linear elliptic inequality involving Hardy and Leray potentials. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2011, Article ID 917201, 21 pages, 2011. doi:10.1155/2011/917201.
- [9] Filippas S.; Tertikas A. and Tidblom J., On the structure of Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequalities . *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 11(6), (2009), 1165-1185.
- [10] Ghoussoub N., Kang X. S., Hardy-Sobolev critical elliptic equations with boundary singularities. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 21 (2004), no. 6, 767-793.

- [11] Ghoussoub N. and Robert F.: The effect of curvature on the best constant in the Hardy Sobolev inequalities. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 16(6), 1201-1245 (2006).
- [12] Nazarov A. I., Hardy-Sobolev Inequalities in a cone, *J. Math. Sciences*, 132, (2006), (4), 419-427.
- [13] Nazarov A. I., Dirichlet and Neumann problems to critical Emden-Fowler type equations. *J Glob Optim* (2008) 40, 289-303.
- [14] Pinchover Y., Tintarev K., Existence of minimizers for Schrödinger operators under domain perturbations with application to Hardy's inequality. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 54 (2005), 1061-1074.